

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

2	And A Trade a No.		В	
ppa meneral	TNS1/0828	一		EXAMINER
See all			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				C8/28/98
•	unio.	en a comment de la comment	en a company of the c	MICHL, E  ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover she	et beneath the correspondence address
Period for Response		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	S SET TO EXPIRE $\mathcal{I}$	REE MONTH(S) FROM THE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) date of 15 NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by</li> <li>Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response versions.</li> </ul>	uys, a response within the st default, expire SIX (6) MON	atutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5.2	7-98	6-10-98
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance excapaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1</li> </ul>		
Disposition of Claims		
$\begin{array}{c c} \text{Claim(s)} & 1 - 1/3 \\ \text{Of the above claim(s)} & 3/ - 59 \end{array}$		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s) $3/-59$		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
$\Box$ Claim(s) $/-30$ , 60	-113	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)		
□ Claim(s)		-
Application Papers		requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	ving Review, PTO-948.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	_	ed □ disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob	ected to by the Examin	er.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	ected to by the Examin	эг.
	·	er.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	·	эг.
<ul> <li>☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner</li> </ul>	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9 of the priority document	(a)-(d). s have been
<ul> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner</li> <li>Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies</li> <li>□ received.</li> </ul>	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9 of the priority document	(a)-(d). s have been
<ul> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner</li> <li>Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur</li> </ul>	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9 of the priority document  nber)  nternational Bureau (PC	(a)-(d). s have been  CT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
<ul> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner</li> <li>Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the</li> </ul>	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9 of the priority document  nber)  nternational Bureau (PC	(a)-(d). s have been  CT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
<ul> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner</li> <li>Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the</li> <li>*Certified copies not received:</li> </ul>	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9 of the priority document of the priority documen	(a)-(d). s have been CT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur ☐ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9 of the priority document nber) nternational Bureau (PC	(a)-(d). s have been  CT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

Serial No. 08/823,411
Art Unit 1714

Claims 31-59 remain withdrawn from further consideration for being directed to the non-elected invention. Applicants' traversal of the restriction requirement is noted. However, the Examiner believes that the restriction requirement is proper and the restriction requirement is made <u>FINAL</u>. The two inventions are independent and distinct from one another. The apparatus may be used to process materials other than elastomer. The method of claims 1-30 and the composition of claims 60-113 may be conducted in apparatus other than that of claims 31-59.

The Examiner notes applicants' amendment to the specification that this application is a continuation-in-part of Serial No. 08/625,163. However, applicants have not claimed benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 112 in the Declaration and Power of Attorney. The Examiner suggests that applicants submit an amended Declaration and Power of Attorney claiming benefit for 08/625,163.

Applicants are requested to submit a copy of the allowed claims in 08/625,163.

Claims 1-31 and 60-113 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kafka. Applicants' method claims are directed to a method of mixing elastomer latex with a fluid comprising filler and coagulating in a reactor. Kafka discloses mixing latex and aqueous slurry of filler in an extruder and coagulating. Applicants' claimed reactor encompasses the Kafka

Serial No. 08/823,411
Art Unit 1714

extruder. See the Abstract of Kafka. The Examiner presumes that the compositions recited in claims 60-112 are the products resulting from the method of claims 1-31 and 113. The difference between the claims and Kafka is that Kafka does not specifically recite macrodispersion. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate fillers into elastomers according to Kafka in such a manner as to achieve compositions having the recited macrodispersion limitations of claims 60-112. The motivation is that it is within the skill in the art to impart the necessary energy into the Kafka extruder to achieve the desired degree of dispersing the filler into the elastomer.

Claims 60-112 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue or Asai or Simonet. These references disclose mixing elastomer and filler to achieve macrodispersion. The difference is that the references do not specifically recite the numerical values for macrodispersion recited in applicants' claims. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to practice the inventions of Inoue or Asai or Simonet so as to achieve macrodispersion of .2%. The motivation is that it is within the skill in the art to conduct the methods of these references to achieve a desired degree of dispersion of filler in elastomer. See column 2, line 66 of Inoue. See column 1, line 27 and column 1, line 37 of Asai. See column 1, line 40 of Simonet.

Art Unit 1714

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Paul Michl at telephone number (703) 308-2451.

The Examiner's supervisor is Vasu Jagannathan phone number (703) 306-2777. The fax number for this group is (703) 305-3599.

PRMichl:cdc

(703) 308-0661

August 19, 1998

PAUL R. MICHL

PATENT EXAMNINER

ART UNIT 156